Appraisal of Cowpea Cropping Systems and Farmers' Perceptions of Production Constraints and Preferences in the Dry Savannah Areas of Nigeria

Saba Baba Mohammed^{1,2}, Daniel Kwadjo Dzidzienyo^{2*}, Muhammad Lawan Umar¹, Mohammad Faguji Ishiyaku¹, Pangirayi Bernard Tongoona², and Vernon Gracen^{2,3}

(1) Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

(2) West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement, University of Ghana,

(3) Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Corresponding Author's Address: * West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana. ddzidzienyo@wacci.ug.edu.gh +233264006515

ABSTRACT

Background

Low plant density and wide intra-plant spacing in traditional cowpea cropping systems are among factors responsible for low yield on farmers' fields. Sole cropping and improved intercropping systems have been advocated in the last few years, to increase yield in the northern guinea savannah of Nigeria. This study investigated the level of adoption of high yielding cowpea cropping systems including factors that influenced their use, and assessed farmers' perceived production constraints and preferences. A total of 420 farmers across 36 villages of northern Nigeria were interviewed, and data collected was analyzed using descriptive statistics to appraise farmers predominant cowpea cropping systems and factors that determine the use of sole vis-à-vis intercropping were identified with the aid binary logit regression. Furthermore, pairwise comparison ranking was deployed to understand farmers' view of cowpea production constraints and preferred traits.

Results

The results revealed that many farmers (42%) still grow cowpeas in the traditional intercropping and a good number (25%) cultivate the crop as a sole crop, while 23% had fields of cowpeas in sole and intercropping systems. Farmers reported the incidence of high insect pests, limited access to land, desire to have multiple benefits, and insurance in the event of crop failure as reasons for preference for mixed intercropping over sole planting. The pairwise comparison ranking of constraints and preferences revealed insect pests, *Striga*, drought and poor access to fertilizers as major constraints to increased production, while many farmers indicated high yield as the most preferred trait.

Conclusions

Findings from the study indicated a need for increased education and training of cowpea farmers on the importance of growing cowpeas in sole cropping and or improved intercropping systems. Genetic improvement efforts should focus on developing cowpea varieties that address farmers production constraints and reflect the diversity of consumers' preferences for the crop. Hence, breeding for resistance to insect pests and high yield is recommended as an important priority of cowpea breeding programmes in the region.

Keywords

Cowpea, Cropping systems, Sole cropping, Intercropping, Pairwise comparison, Northern Nigeria

Background

Legumes such as cowpea form a complementary balanced diet when combined with cereals, because of their high content of essential amino acids like lysine and tryptophan, micronutrients (Fe and Zn), macronutrients like Mg, Ca, and other important B-group vitamins (1). The compositional profiles of cowpeas can help in attaining the United Nations Sustainable

Development Goal (SDGs) of eradicating all forms of hunger and malnutrition and reducing child stunting.

Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.] is a vital grain legume widely cultivated in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) as food for humans and feed for livestock. The crop's grain is rich in proteins, micro- and macronutrients. In most areas of SSA, the yield on farmers' fields are below its genetic potential due to a series of stress factors, poor agronomic practices, and socio-economic constraints (2,3). Thus, innovative systems to increase productivity and yield of cowpea that would serve the needs of all stakeholders should be the main target of its cropping systems research in the major producing areas. The high-yielding cropping systems comprise sole cropping, one-row cereal with double rows cowpea strip planting, and the doubled-up system used in eastern Africa, where a second legume crop is planted as a succeeding crop of legume in the same field within a season (1,4,5).

Cowpea cropping systems here referred to the sequence of crops grown and managed together with cowpea in one field. Intercropping means growing more than one crop simultaneously or as a relay of one over the other in one field, while sole cropping means growing one crop type in the field in a season (6). There is a huge gap between realized and potential yield of cowpea due to several factors including sub-optimal agronomic practices such as wide intra-plant spacing, and very low cowpea density (1, 000 – 5, 000 plants/ha) in traditional intercropping compared to the recommended density of about (66, 666 plants/ha) in the solely planted fields (3,7). The wider within row spacing of cowpeas intercropped or relayed with cereals ranged from 40 – 100 cm, compared with 20 – 25 cm in sole cropping of the crop (4,5). There is a promise for increased grain yield in the northern guinea savannah of Nigeria, where most of the grain cowpeas in Nigeria are produced, if suitable agronomic practices and recommended planting patterns are used by

69 smallholder farmers (4,5). It is important to understand and document the current prevailing
70 cowpea cropping systems in major producing areas of Nigeria, since the country is the world's
71 largest consumer of the crop. The demand for grain cowpea in Nigeria is expected to increase
72 tremendously due to the increasing population of the nation (8), thereby presenting a potential food
73 crisis in the coming years especially as the traditional cropping systems are breaking down and
74 cannot support the demand for food and fodder of the increasing population.

75 Cowpeas are grown by most smallholder farmers in the traditional systems as a mixed intercrop
76 or relayed after cereals leading to low plant stands, shading effects by the companion crops, and
77 competition between crops with negative consequences on the productivity of the crop. This has
78 been a widespread practice by smallholder farmers in the northern regions of Nigeria known for
79 its substantial cowpea production (4,9). Understanding farmers' knowledge of production
80 technologies and cropping systems is critical to increasing the level of crop productivity and
81 adoption of new farming technologies (10).

82 In the northern guinea savannah areas of Nigeria, where there are significant outreach efforts by
83 organizations like the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and the Institute for
84 Agricultural Research of Ahmadu Bello University Zaria (IAR/ABU), improved agronomic
85 practices such as sole cropping and improved cereal - cowpea systems for increased productivity
86 have been developed and advocated. However, the level of adoption of these systems is not well
87 documented. Also, farmers and consumers have a varied preference for cowpea's seed size and
88 coat colour in major consuming areas (11,12). Recent studies have indicated that the relatively
89 low level of adoption of improved cowpea varieties among smallholders in Nigeria (13,14)
90 includes the non-availability of farmers and market preferred traits such as seed size, and colour
91 among others. Hence, assessing farmers' understanding of constraints, trait preferences, as well

as their farming systems, is essential for the wide adoption of new production technologies including newly developed varieties (15). Farmers' participatory assessment approach such as participatory rural appraisal, focus group discussion, and semi-structured can be used to identify and document farmers' practices, perceived production constraints, and preferred traits (10,15,16). Appraising and documenting this information will serve as baseline data and support setting priorities for farming system research, development programmes and provide feedback to the scientists and policymakers in Nigeria and as well as for areas with similar agro-ecologies in the savannah regions. Therefore, the main objective of this research was to investigate the impact of several efforts by the research institutions in developing and disseminating improved agronomic practices to scale up the productivity of cowpeas in the major producing areas of Nigeria on current cropping systems. Specific objectives were to document the prevailing cowpea cropping systems, understand factors influencing the use of the systems, and assess farmers' perceived production constraints and preferences.

Materials and methods

Description of the study areas and sampling procedure

This study was conducted in three states in the northern guinea savannah of Nigeria across 36 communities (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 1). The chosen areas are among major cowpea producing areas in Nigeria and have been the primary focus of outreach efforts by the IITA (Kano-station) and the IAR/ABU Zaria for the past few decades (17–19).

Participants for the study were determined through a two-step sampling procedure. First, the major cowpea growing areas were identified from literature (20) which lead to the selection of Kano, Katsina and Kaduna States for the survey. Second, a total of 420 cowpea farmers across the study communities were selected with the assistance of extension personnel familiar with the selected

115 communities. It is a well-established fact that, field extension agents are mostly more familiar with
116 farmers in study sites and are often more helpful during farmers participatory activities (10).
117 At each survey site, all interviews were conducted in person and in the “*Hausa*” language from
118 January through March 2017. The design of this study was reviewed and approved by the Legumes
119 and Oilseeds Research Review Committee of the Institute for Agricultural Research (IAR) Zaria
120 as an internal interview process. Also, the informed consent of interviewees was always obtained
121 verbally before conducting the interviews and focus group discussions, as well as the option to
122 participate or withdraw from the conduct of the study, was orally given to the participants.

Fig. 1 Map of study sites across three Nigerian States (Map produced with ArcGIS 10.4)

Data collection and analysis

Primary data were sourced through semi-structured questionnaires designed and administered by the research team. Data were collected from a total of 420 cowpea farmers drawn randomly from

three villages per local government area (LGA) across 12 LGA, giving a total of 36 villages for the study. Data collected include socio-economic attributes, years of experience in cowpea production, cowpea cropping systems, use of phosphate fertilizers, attendance of field-days, and contacts with extension workers. Information obtained on farming systems through the questionnaire surveys was verified through focus group discussions (FGD) with key informants and personal observation during the surveys. The FGD centred around cowpea production constraints and preferences using the pairwise comparison chart to rank information. Identified production constraints and preferences at each community were arranged in a matrix of rows and columns on a whiteboard and were ranked in a pairwise manner to identify the most important constraints or preference of the farmers, such that when two constraints or preference were ranked, the most important receives 1 and the less important of the two gets 0 (21–23).

We used a binomial logistic regression in SPSS to predict whether the "cowpea cropping system by farmers" can be predicted based on age category, education, experience on cowpea production, contact with extension workers, field-day attendance, gender, household size, marital and use of phosphate fertilizer (Table 1). The system of growing cowpea was modelled as a dependent variable with a binary choice: the value was 1, if the farmer grew cowpea as a sole crop; otherwise, the value was 0, thereby making the farmers' decision two mutually exclusive events, such that when a farmer chose the option of growing the crop as a sole crop, the other option by default was not chosen (16).

Data collected from questionnaires and FGD sessions were coded, entered in spreadsheets, and analyzed using the SPSS version 20. Results were summarized and presented using descriptive statistics, logit regression and the pairwise comparison ranks were visually displayed as bar charts with the aid of R software (R Core Team). As an exploratory study aimed at stimulating interest

and further investigations, this paper leaned heavily on descriptive statistics to report the predominant system in use by farmers for growing cowpea. The main aim of the binary logit analysis was to describe if growing cowpea as sole or intercrop was influenced by the explanatory variables stated in Table 1. Empirically, the model for estimating the determinants of the probability of farmers growing cowpea sole crop is as follows;

$$\ln \left[\frac{P_x}{1 - P_x} \right] = \beta_o + \sum \beta_i X_i$$

where P_x is the probability of an event occurring (value of 1 if the farmer grows cowpea as a sole crop; otherwise, the value is 0), β_o is a constant term, and β_i is a coefficient associated with the independent variable X_i .

Table 1 List and description of variables used in the binary logit regression model

Variable	Description	Variable type	Units
Dependent variable			
Cowpea cropping system	Farmer's system of growing cowpea in the field	Dummy	1 = sole, 0 = intercrop
Independent variable			
Age	Farmer's age category	Years	Continuous
Education	Farmer's formal education	Levels	Continuous
Experience-cowpea	Experience in cowpea cultivation	Years	Continuous
Extension-contacts	Access to cowpea production information from extension agents	Dummy	1 = yes, 0 = no
Fertilizers	Use of phosphate fertilizers	Dummy	1= yes, 0 = no
Field-days	Attendance of cowpea field-days	Dummy	1 = yes, 0 = no

Gender	Farmer's gender	Dummy	1 = male, 0 = female
Household-size	Size of farmer's household	Persons	Continuous
Status	Farmer's marital status	Dummy	1 = married, 0 = single

161

162 **Results and discussion**

163 **Appraisal of the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents**

164 Most of the respondents across the 12 local government areas (LGA) surveyed were male (Table
165 2). The preponderance of male cowpea farmers across the three states is due to the cultural and
166 religious norms of the communities since many women in these areas are not involved in physical
167 farm operations. Women in northern Nigerian societies are mainly involved in post-harvest
168 operations like threshing and winnowing (24). There was, however, a significant number of female
169 cowpea growers in a few of the areas like Kajuru (41%), Makarfi (37%), Bunkure (29%) and
170 Tsanyawa (29%). This is probably due to their contact with extension workers (Table 2). Our
171 report validates findings of Iya and Kwaghe (25) in north-eastern Nigeria and Akpalu et al. (26)
172 in northern Ghana and, that men were more involved in cowpea farming and women were involved
173 in post-harvest operations. The practice of having more men involved in cowpea field operations
174 in northern Nigeria is in contrast to reports from Southern and West African countries like Burkina
175 Faso and Zambia, where women are the main growers of the crop (27).

176 The average household size of the surveyed areas was 14 persons, indicating most of the cowpea
177 farmers had relatively large households. The size of households varies widely from 8 persons in
178 Kajuru to 18 persons in Birnin_Gwari and Giwa areas (Table 2). Large household size is important,
179 as it provides easy access to labour for field operations from members of the family. Attendance

of field-days on cowpea production was low to none in most of the areas surveyed. Cowpea farmers in Bunkure had the highest attendance and participation in cowpea field-days while most farmers in Birnin_Gwari, Dandume, Danja, Kaita and Makarfi areas reported no attendance of field-days on cowpea production practices. This shows that these farmers have limited exposure and awareness of improved cowpea technologies such as enhanced cropping systems that could increase their yield. Also, a relatively large number of cowpea farmers (44%) reported no contact with extension personnel, 33% had one to five contacts whereas around 23% of the farmers had more than five contacts with extension personnel (Table 2). Contact with extension workers helps in educating and guiding farmers on improved cowpea technologies and practices. Earlier reports have indicated low farmers to Extension ratio (1:10, 000) in Nigeria (28,29) compared to the recommendation of 1:800 - 1, 000 Extension to Farmers' ratio by the World Bank. Agricultural inputs use and advisory services are important for providing guides to smallholder farmers on crop management practices (30). These findings underscore the need to increase training and educational programmes targeting cowpea farmers to achieve increased productivity in the region and ensure a sustainable food system. We noted also that, most of the farmers who reported attendance of cowpea field-days were those that participated in the USAID-supported cowpea upscaling projects at Matazu, Minjibir, and Albasu areas (31).

Half of the farmers interviewed (50%) had more than ten years of experience in cowpea cultivation, 27% had up to 20 years of experience, while approximately 2% have been growing cowpeas for up to 40 years (Figure 2). This means that these farmers are used to the traditional cowpea production practices such as the use of local varieties (landraces), intercropping with staple cereals, and late season planting of the crop after the rains are well established.

202 **Table 2 Socioeconomic characteristics of cowpea farmers across the surveyed areas in northern Nigeria in 2017**

	Albasu	Birnin	Bunkure	Dandume	Danja	Giwa	Kaita	Kajuru	Makarfi	Matazu	Minjibir	Tsanyawa	Mean
Gender (%)													
Male	92	86	71	100	100	88	100	59	63	91	100	71	85
Female	8	14	29	0	0	12	0	41	37	9	0	29	15
Household size	15	18	10	16	13	18	14	8	10	15	10	15	14
Field-days attendance	2	0	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	3	2	3	1
Contact with extension agent (%)													
None	29	60	29	76	72	60	50	29	37	17	54	24	44
Less than 5 times	37	31	40	17	17	29	23	63	43	29	9	53	33
More than 5 times	34	9	31	7	11	12	27	7	20	54	37	24	23

Fig. 2 Farmers' experience in cowpea production across three States of northern guinea savannah of Nigeria in 2017. The X-axis represents the year of experience while the Y-axis is the percent of farmers

Predominant cowpea cropping systems in the guinea savannah areas of Nigeria

The prevailing cowpea cropping systems varied among farmers across the study areas. Intercropping of cowpea with major cereals like maize, sorghum, and pearl millet was the most popular system among the respondents. On average, 42% of respondents use intercropping (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 1). Our findings validate earlier reports in northern Namibia (Horn *et al.*, 2014), northern Ghana (26), and Yobe state in Nigeria (3,7), where intercropping of cowpea with cereals was the main planting systems among smallholder farmers. Despite the huge benefits associated with sole cropping of cowpeas including higher yields, only about 25% of the respondents reported cultivation of cowpea as a sole crop and this was mostly among farmers at

221 *Kajuru, Bunkure, Minjibir, Albasu, and Tsanyawa* areas. Relay cropping, where cowpea is planted
222 as a secondary crop after harvest of major crops like maize was reported in a few areas (9%) (Table
223 3; Supplementary Figure 1). The traditional systems of intercropping cowpea with cereals are
224 associated with low grain and fodder yield due to low plant population, shading of cowpeas by the
225 cereal crops, little or minimal fertilization for cowpea and poor level of pest management (3,7). A
226 region-wide survey of West and Central Africa countries including; Nigeria, Niger Republic,
227 Republic of Benin, Togo, Cameroon, and Burkina Faso have been reported in Mortimore *et al.*
228 (33), that indicated diversity in cowpea cropping systems, where over 15 types of intercropping
229 systems were found.

230 In-depth discussions with farmers during the FGD revealed that sole cropping in these areas was
231 associated with farmers with formal education, contact with extension workers, and participation
232 in intervention programmes like USAID-supported cowpea upscaling, and IITA's varietal
233 demonstrations. Farmers growing cowpeas as a relay crop do plant early maturing varieties of
234 maize and followed by local cowpea cultivars, which are often late maturing. Several reasons were
235 given by farmers for preferring cowpea in intercrop or as a relay with cereals. These include the
236 need to maximize the use of limited land, benefits from residual fertilization of maize fields, ease
237 of management, and post-harvest drying (Table 4). In most intercropped fields, separate
238 application of fertilizer is usually not provided for cowpea and late-maturing cowpea varieties
239 were commonly used (Table 4). Some farmers plant their cowpeas late, such that they mature when
240 most of the cereal crops have been harvested by the time cowpeas would be ready for harvesting,
241 thereby making more labour available. Similar findings have been reported, that popularity of
242 cowpea as intercropping among farmers is due to the culture of the farming communities, multiple

benefits derived from the practice, and the high cost of pest control associated with sole fields of cowpea (6,33,34).

Improved intercropping of cowpeas in a ratio of 2 rows of cereals with four densely populated rows of cowpea (2 cereal rows: 4 cowpea rows), that provides higher productivity with relatively smaller need for inputs like fertilizers and insecticides, has been developed and deployed in some areas of northern guinea savanna of Nigeria by the IITA in the early 2000s (4,5). The improved cereal-cowpea system eliminates most of the problems associated with the traditional inter or relay cropping, as it provides higher plant density for both cereal and cowpea, reduced shading effects associated with traditional intercropping, gives increased productivity of both crops (cereal and cowpea), and increased income for farmers. Also, the system (2 rows cereal: 4 rows cowpea) permits the production of the cowpeas twice within the growing season by using early and medium varieties in the cereal-cowpea mixed intercropping. We observed that farmers do not largely adopt the use of this improved cowpea intercropping in most of the studied areas. Despite the obvious advantages and demonstrated successes of the 2 cereal: 4 cowpea rows intercropping and subsequent large-scale dissemination of this technology in the early 2000s in the northern guinea savannah region of Nigeria. The smallholder cowpea farmers have largely abandoned the practice and most of them plant cowpea in the traditional systems. This underscores the need for continuous and intensive extension programmes to advocate and promote the use of yield-enhancing technologies.

The intercropping of legumes with cereals has also led to the underrepresentation of legumes statistics, resulting in low investment in research and development by donor agencies since attention is always on sole crop fields (1), this calls for the need to scale up production of legumes like cowpeas with innovative high-yielding cropping systems such as 2: 4 cereal-cowpea

266 combination mentioned earlier. Overall, farmers across most of the locations indicated an interest
267 in continuing intercropping of cowpea (Table 4). The sole cropping of cowpea might provide high
268 yield, resulting from increased plant population but, unfortunately, the adoption has been very low
269 in major growing areas in Nigeria due to its incompatibility with farmers' existing practices and
270 needs, since most of the smallholder farmers are interested in multiple benefits from their
271 investment and are focused on producing enough food for family consumption and surplus for the
272 market (1).

273 **Table 3 Percentage of farmers reporting different cowpea cropping systems across study sites in three the northern Nigerian**
274 **States**

Cropping Systems	Albasu	Birnin Gwari	Bunkure	Dandume	Danja	Giwa	Kaita	Kajuru	Makarfi	Matazu	Minjibir	Tsanyawa	Mean
Sole cowpea	42	17	51	0	0	19	3	71	10	9	51	26	25
Mixed cropping	50	17	14	90	61	50	57	27	43	46	31	24	42
Relay cropping	0	54	3	0	14	19	0	0	7	3	0	9	9
Sole & Mixed farms	8	11	31	10	25	12	40	2	40	43	17	41	23

275 *Total number of farmers across States (N) = 420

Table 4 Summary of farmers' view on different cowpea cropping systems during the focus group discussion sessions across three States in the northern guinea savannah of Nigeria in 2017

Location*	Comments on cowpea cropping systems	
	Sole	Intercropping
Albasu	Common here due to project demonstrations	Used for a late-maturing variety
Birnin_Gwari	It is associated with high insect problems. Often not used due to limited access to land.	This system provides insurance against crop failure and weather conditions
Bunkure	Good for market-oriented growers, since it gives higher yield and returns.	Provides more food for families. Cowpea benefits from maize's residual fertilizers
Dandume	No adequate land for sole cropping	Intercropping is more preferred
Danja	Few farmers here plant cowpea in sole cropping. Phosphate fertilizers like SSP are not used by many due to non-availability. Fertilizers, in addition, lead to high vegetative growth of cowpea	Intercropping is the most prominent among farmers here due to the ease of management of the system
Giwa	It yields more but demands a lot of attention	It is easier to manage and provides other benefits
Kaita	It is difficult to manage due to need to spray a lot of insecticides, and where there is no means, the field gets destroyed by insects	Planted with sorghum, millet, or groundnut on some farms. Intercropping guarantee dual benefits
Kajuru	This is more suitable and often used for early maturing varieties.	It is popularly for late maturing varieties, thereby do not require much pest controls
Makarfi	Not too popular here	Cowpea is grown mainly here with groundnut, sesame, and maize.
Matazu	Needs phosphate-based fertilizers such as SSP.	Planted with millet, maize, and groundnut.
Minjibir	It is high yielding and requires a lot of resources such as insecticides to manage.	Requires less investment and grains become mature during the harmattan season, making it easier to manage.
Tsanyawa	Good for early maturing varieties, gives more yield for sale and consumption. It needs more fertilizers than intercropping.	Late maturing is planted, more popular due to limited land. Improved varieties do not grow well in intercrop while farmers prefer intercrop

* Locations are names of local government areas across Kaduna, Kano, and Katsina States, all in northern Nigeria

Determinants for sole and intercropping of cowpea by farmers across study areas

The binary logit was performed to ascertain the influence of nine explanatory variables in Table 1 on the likelihood of cowpea farmers growing the crop as a sole crop or in intercropping. The results showed four independent variables had significant influence on farmers' use of sole or intercropping of cowpea, age category ($p = 0.010$), use of phosphate-based fertilizers ($p = 0.003$), attendance of field-days ($p = 0.033$), and gender or sex ($p = 0.000$) to have contributed significantly to the model prediction (Table 5). The model explained about 16% (Nagelkerke R^2) of the variance in the cropping systems and correctly classified 63.1% of the cases. The use and adoption of technologies and recommendations are dependent on farmers' awareness and advocacy (35). In this study, the logit model was used to understand how explanatory variables influencing the cultivation of cowpea as sole crop by smallholder farmers. Studies demonstrating the use of binary logistics regression models have been used previously to estimate factors influencing use phosphate fertilizers on cowpea fields (16), farmers' knowledge of pea weevils (35), and the decision to use pesticides in vegetable crops (36).

Table 5 Binary logit outputs on variables influencing the use of cowpea cropping system

Variable (see Table 1)	B	SE	Wald	Sig.	Exp(B)
Constant	2.982**	1.006	8.790	0.003	19.731
Age	-0.292*	0.113	6.671	0.010	0.747
Education	0.274	0.232	1.405	0.236	1.316
Experience-cowpea	0.036	0.121	0.090	0.764	1.037
Extension-Contacts	0.203	0.153	1.762	0.184	1.225
Fertilizer use	-0.893**	0.298	9.011	0.003	0.409
Field-days	0.387*	0.181	4.546	0.033	1.472
Gender	-1.507***	0.342	19.446	0.000	0.222
Household-size	0.003	0.013	0.069	0.793	1.003
Status	0.054	0.546	0.010	0.921	1.056

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; $N = 420$; B = coefficient for the constant (or the "intercept"), SE = standard error around the coefficient for the constant, Wald = Wald chi-square test, Sig. = statistical significance of the test, and Exp (B) = exponentiation of the B coefficient, which is an odds ratio

Assessment of cowpea farmers production constraints and preferences

Farmer's description of constraints and preferences varied from one location to another. Major constraints identified by cowpea farmers were pooled with the aid of pairwise ranking across the study areas. These were; insect pests, aphid infestation, pod sucking bugs, limited access to improved seeds, *Striga*, *Maruca* pod borer, drought, adulterated chemicals, and fluctuation in market prices in that order of importance. (Figures 3 & 4; Supplementary Table 2). It is interesting to note that, farmers in some areas specifically mentioned aphids, *Maruca*, pod sucking bugs, termites, and weevils in storage as their constraints rather than mentioned them as insect pests (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 2). The factors identified as constraints to production of cowpea in northern Nigeria are similar to cowpea farmers' perception in northern Namibia (Horn et al., 2014; Horn and Shimelis, 2020), and in an intra-household impact study of dual-purpose cowpea among women in northern Nigeria (37).

Understanding farmers' constraints to increased productivity is valuable for breeding programmes in the region target development and deployment of improved varieties with increased yield and income of farmers (10,38). Improved varieties that addressed farmers' constraint will record large scale adoption.

In the current study, farmers identified and listed different traits as their preferred traits. Across all locations, farmers ranked high grain yield as the most preferred trait desired in a cowpea variety (Figures 5 & 6; Supplementary Figure 3; Supplementary Table 3). This corroborates findings on cowpea preferences of farmers in Mozambique (39). Other preferences varied between the study areas, such as the appealing look of grains termed as "good market value" and large-seeded grains were ranked the second and third most important preferred traits in most of the locations. Consumers and cowpea value chain stakeholders in Nigeria, Ghana, and Mali have indicated similar preferences (12).

Other qualities identified as preferred traits in most of the locations were resistance to pests, early maturity, and good fodder quality. Reasons given for early maturity is that they provide quick food and money to take care of other crops in the field, while those with good fodder types provide feed for their livestock. Interestingly, small-seeded grains were mentioned as an important characteristic in two areas, this was preferred by local food vendors for making cowpea paste called “*moi-moi*”, while large-seeded types were preferred by those interested in using cowpea for direct consumption in local dishes such as boiled rice combined with cowpea meal (Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Table 3). Increasing productivity of cowpeas is needed to avoid food crises in high consuming countries, especially as the World population is expected to reach over 9 billion in the next few decades (40).

Fig. 3 Farmers' perception of cowpea production constraints using pair-wise comparison ranking in focus group discussions in the Kano State, Nigeria in 2017. The X-axis represents perceived production constraints identified by farmers whereas the Y-axis is the ranking of the constraints by farmers (A) Albasu local government area (LGA) (B) Bunkure LGA (C) Tsanyawa LGA, and (D) Minjibir LGA, all in Kano State, Nigeria. **Note:** Abortion = flower abortion, Adulteration = adulterated chemicals, Aphids = infestation of field by aphid insects, Drought = incidence of drought occurrence, Diseases = occurrence of plant diseases in the field, Fertilizers = poor access to phosphate fertilizer in local market, Fluctuation = fluctuation in market prices of harvested grains, HCC = high cost of chemicals, Insects = infestation by different insect pests, PSB = damage of cowpea plants by pod sucking bugs, PSF = limited availability of safe and affordable grain storage facilities, *Maruca* = high incidence and damage by *Maruca* pod borer, *Striga* = prevalence of *Striga gesnerioides*, Viability = poor viability of seeds used for planting, *Thrips* = infestation of cowpea fields by thrips, Seeds = limited access to improved seeds of varieties, Seed.Q = poor seed quality used at planting, Shattering = shattering of pods of some varieties at harvest, and Soil = poor soil fertility

Fig. 4 Farmers' perception of cowpea production constraints using pair-wise comparison ranking in focus group discussions in the Katsina State, Nigeria in 2017. The X-axis represents perceived production constraints identified by farmers whereas the Y-axis is the ranking of the constraints by farmers (A) Matazu local government area (LGA) (B) Kaita LGA (C) Danja LGA, and (D) Dandume LGA, all in Katsina State, Nigeria. **Note:** Adulteration = adulterated chemicals, Aphids = infestation of field by aphid insects, Drought = incidence of drought occurrence, Fertilizers = poor access to phosphate fertilizer in local market, Insects = infestation by different insect pests, PSB = damage of

cowpea plants by pod sucking bugs, *Striga* = prevalence of *Striga gesnerioides*, & Seeds = limited access to improved seeds of varieties.

Fig. 5 Cowpea farmers' preferred traits determined using pair-wise comparison ranking in a focus group discussion at Kano State, Nigeria in 2017. The X-axis represents preference traits identified by farmers while the Y-axis is the ranking of farmers' preference traits (A) Albasu local government area (LGA) (B) Bunkure LGA (C) Tsanyawa LGA and (D) Minjibir LGA, all in Kano State, Nigeria. Key: Colour = seed coat colour, Fodder = good fodder quality, Large = large seed size, Maturity = early maturity, Market = good market value, Purity = purity of seeds, Quality = seed quality, Resistance = resistance to insect pests, Taste = good taste, and Yield = high yield

Fig. 6 Cowpea farmers' preferred traits determined using pair-wise comparison ranking in a focus group discussion at Katsina State, Nigeria in 2017. The X-axis represents preference traits identified by farmers while the Y-axis is the ranking of farmers' preference traits (A) Matazu local government area (LGA) (B) Kaita LGA (C) Danja LGA, and (D) Dandume LGA, all in Katsina State, Nigeria. Key: Fertilizers = access to phosphate fertilizer in local market, Fodder = good fodder quality, Large = large seed size, Maturity = early maturity, Resistance = resistance to insect pests, and Yield = high yield

Conclusions

Information gathered from this study has revealed the prevailing cropping system for cowpea, farmers' perceived constraints in production, and farmers preferred traits, providing essential data for designing and implementing breeding programmes for higher genetic gain. Most of the farmers in this study preferred intercropping of cowpea with major cereals. These farmers have had limited exposure to training and guidance from field-day events and interaction with extension workers. Owing to farmers' tradition of intercropping cowpeas with cereal, we, therefore, recommend that there is a need to improve cowpea – cereal intercropping systems that fit the need of most

smallholder farmers. This study found that cultivation of cowpea as sole versus intercropping was also influenced by the age category, gender, use of phosphate fertilizers and attendance of field-days. We further recommend that programmes should be put in place to create awareness of the yield advantage of growing cowpeas as a sole crop and or improved 2:4 cereal-cowpea planting pattern. Cowpea breeding programmes in Nigeria should take into account that resistance to pests is the major constraints to the increased productivity of the cowpea. It can be inferred from findings from this study, that high-yielding cowpea varieties, with large seed size and resistance to insect pests, are likely to be adopted by most farmers in the northern savannah of Nigeria and areas with similar ecologies.

Abbreviations

ABU: Ahmadu Bello University Nigeria

FGD: Focus Group Discussion

IAR: Institute for Agricultural Research

IITA: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture

SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa

Declaration

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The design of this study was reviewed and approved by the Legumes and Oilseeds Research Review Committee of the Institute for Agricultural Research (IAR) Zaria as an internal interview process. The informed consent of participants was always obtained verbally before interviews and focus group discussions were conducted, as well as the option to participate or withdraw from the conduct of the study, was orally given to the participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research was partly funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation through the Program for Emerging Agricultural Research Leaders (PEARL II) grant to the Ahmadu Bello University Nigeria, grant number “INV-009560” and “The APC was funded by [INV-009560]”

Authors' contributions

SBM conceived and designed the experiments; performed the experiments; analyzed and interpreted the data, wrote the first draft of the paper. DKD, MFI, PBT, VG, and MLU conceived and designed the experiments; participated in the interpretation of the data and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The present study was part of the PhD thesis of the first author. The first author acknowledges the West Africa Agricultural Productivity Programme (WAAPP-Nigeria, a World Bank Supported Intervention) for funding part of his PhD work. The authors are grateful to the staff and management of the Agricultural Development Programmes of Kaduna, Kano and Katsina States, Nigeria for facilitating access to the cowpea farmers, who participated in this study.

Availability of data and materials

The data presented in this study are available within the article and supplementary materials.

Authors' information

SBM, MFI, and MLU are Faculty members at the Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria. SBM was a PhD student at the West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement, University of Ghana. DKD, and PBT are Faculty members at the West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement, University of Ghana. VG was a visiting Professor at the West Africa Centre for Crop Improvement, University of Ghana, and an Emeritus Professor at the Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

References

1. Snapp S, Rahmanian M, Batello C. Pulse crops for sustainable farms in sub-Saharan Africa [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 May 2]. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/3/i8300en/l8300EN.pdf>
2. Horn LN, Shimelis H. Production constraints and breeding approaches for cowpea improvement for drought prone agro-ecologies in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Ann Agric Sci*. 2020 Jun 1;65(1):83–91.
3. Olufajo OO, Singh BB. Advances in cowpea cropping systems research. In: Fatokun, C.A., S.A. Tarawali, B.B. Singh, P.M. Kormawa and MT, editor. *Challenges and Opportunities for Enhancing Sustainable Cowpea Production* [Internet]. IITA Ibadan; 2002 [cited 2018 Apr 16]. p. 267–77. Available from: http://oldlrinternet.iita.org/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=9d04c2dc-2606-46e3-993f-3a9bd420a05a&groupId=25357
4. Singh BB, Ajeigbe H. Improved Cowpea-Cereals-Based Cropping Systems for Household Food Security and Poverty Reduction in West Africa. *J Crop Improv* [Internet]. 2007 Mar 15 [cited 2018 Jul 29];19(1–2):157–72. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1300/J411v19n01_08
5. Ajeigbe HA, Singh BB, Musa A, Adeosun JO, Adamu RS, Chikoye D. Improved cowpea-cereal cropping systems: cereal-double cowpea system for the northern Guinea savanna zone [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2018 Jul 29]. Available from: www.iita.org
6. Davis JHC, Woolley JN. Genotypic requirement for intercropping. *F Crop Res* [Internet]. 1993 Sep 1 [cited 2019 Mar 25];34(3–4):407–30. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0378429093901246>
7. Ewansiha SU, Kamara AY, Chiezey UF, Johnson EO. Agronomic responses of diverse cowpea cultivars to planting date and cropping system. *Trop Agric*. 2014;91(2):116–30.
8. Gómez C. COWPEA: Post-Harvest Operations [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2018 Apr 18]. Available from: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-au994e.pdf>
9. Agwu AE. Factors Influencing Adoption of Improved Cowpea Production Technologies in Nigeria. *J Int Agric Ext Educ* [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2018 Apr 16];11(1):81–8. Available from: [https://www.aiaee.org/attachments/article/195/Agwu 11.1-9.pdf](https://www.aiaee.org/attachments/article/195/Agwu%2011.1-9.pdf)
10. Hoffmann V, Probst K, Christinck A. Farmers and researchers: How can collaborative advantages

- be created in participatory research and technology development? *Agric Human Values* [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2018 Apr 16];24:355–368. Available from: <http://booksc.org/book/7755453/55fb81>
11. Boukar O, Belko N, Chamarthi S, Togola A, Batiemo J, Owusu E, et al. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*): Genetics, genomics and breeding. Ojiewo C, editor. *Plant Breed* [Internet]. 2018 May 9 [cited 2018 Jun 1];1–10. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/pbr.12589>
 12. Mishili FJ, Fulton J, Shehu M, Kushwaha S, Marfo K, Jamal M, et al. Consumer preferences for quality characteristics along the cowpea value chain in Nigeria, Ghana, and Mali. *Agribusiness* [Internet]. 2009 Sep [cited 2018 May 22];25(1):16–35. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/agr.20184>
 13. Manda J, Alene AD, Tufa AH, Abdoulaye T, Kamara AY, Olufajo O, et al. Adoption and Ex-post Impacts of Improved Cowpea Varieties on Productivity and Net Returns in Nigeria. *J Agric Econ*. 2020 Feb 1;71(1):165–83.
 14. Mohammed SB, Pangirayi BT, Gracen V, Dzidzienyo KD, Ishiyaku FM, Umar ML, et al. Factors determining adoption of improved cowpea varieties among smallholder farmers in the dry Savannah of Nigeria. *J Agripreneursh Sustain Dev* [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Feb 22];2(1):132–43. Available from: <https://jasd.daee.atbu.edu.ng/manuscriptview/dii/mohammed-s-b-pangirayi-b-t-gracen-v-dzidzienyo-k-d-ishiyaku-f-m-umar-m-l-and-abdulmalik-r-o-factors-determining-adoption-of-improved-cowpea-varieties-among-smallholder-farmers-in-the-dry-savannah-of-nigeria>
 15. Rusinamhodzi L, Delve RJ. Participatory Variety Selection of Pulses Under Different Soil and Pest Management Practices in Kadoma District, Zimbabwe. In: Bationo A, Waswa B, Okeyo JM, Maina F, Kihara JM, editors. *Innovations as Key to the Green Revolution in Africa* [Internet]. Springer Netherlands; 2011 [cited 2021 Mar 25]. p. 1015–22. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-90-481-2543-2_103
 16. Mohammed SB, Mohammad IF, Pangirayi TB, Vernon G, Dzidzienyo DK, Umar ML, et al. Farmers' knowledge, perception, and use of phosphorus fertilization for cowpea production in Northern Guinea Savannah of Nigeria. *Heliyon* [Internet]. 2020 Oct 1 [cited 2020 Nov 30];6(10). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05207>
 17. Boukar O, Belko N, Chamarthi S, Togola A, Batiemo J, Owusu E, et al. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*

- 499): Genetics, genomics and breeding. Ojiewo C, editor. Plant Breed. 2018 May;1–10.
- 500 18. Langyintuo AS, Lowenberg-Deboer J, Faye M, Lambert D, Ibro G, Moussa B, et al. Cowpea supply
501 and demand in West and Central Africa. F Crop Res. 2003;82:215–31.
- 502 19. USAID. Production and Market Flow Map: Nigeria Cowpea [Internet]. 2015 Aug [cited 2015 Aug 17].
503 Available from:
504 http://www.fews.net/sites/default/files/documents/reports/ng_fullmap_cowpea_norm.pdf
- 505 20. Coulibaly O, Lowenberg-Deboer J. The economics of cowpea in West Africa. In: Fatokun C,
506 Tarawali S, Singh B, Kormawa P, Tamo M, editors. Challenges and Opportunities for Enhancing
507 Sustainable Cowpea production. IITA Ibadan; 2002. p. 351–66.
- 508 21. Chambers R. The origins and practice of participatory rural appraisal. World Dev. 1994 Jul
509 1;22(7):953–69.
- 510 22. Chambers R. Participatory rural appraisal (PRA): Challenges, potentials and paradigm. World Dev
511 [Internet]. 1994;22(10):1437–54. Available from:
512 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0305750X94900302>
- 513 23. Cavestro L. PRA-Participatory Rural Appraisal Concepts Methodologies and Techniques [Internet].
514 Vol. 14, Web address: [http://www.agraria.unipd.it/agraria/master/CS02-03/PARTICIPATORY%](http://www.agraria.unipd.it/agraria/master/CS02-03/PARTICIPATORY%20RURAL%20APPRAISAL.pdf)
515 [20RURAL% 20APPRAISAL. pdf](http://www.agraria.unipd.it/agraria/master/CS02-03/PARTICIPATORY%20RURAL%20APPRAISAL.pdf). Accessed. 2003 [cited 2016 Feb 20]. Available from:
516 [http://www.yemenwater.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/PARTICIPATORY-RURAL-](http://www.yemenwater.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/PARTICIPATORY-RURAL-APPRAISAL.pdf)
517 [APPRAISAL.pdf](http://www.yemenwater.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/PARTICIPATORY-RURAL-APPRAISAL.pdf)
- 518 24. Rahman SA. Women's involvement in agriculture in northern and southern Kaduna State, Nigeria.
519 J Gend Stud [Internet]. 2008 Mar 5 [cited 2019 Mar 12];17(1):17–26. Available from:
520 <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09589230701838347>
- 521 25. Iya IB, Kwaghe TT. The Economic Effect of Spray Pesticides on Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.
522 Walp.) Production in Adamawa State of Nigeria. Int J Agric Res [Internet]. 2007 Jul 1 [cited 2018
523 Apr 18];2(7):647–50. Available from: <http://www.scialert.net/abstract/?doi=ijar.2007.647.650>
- 524 26. Akpalu MM, Salaam M, Oppong-Sekyere D, Akpalu SE. Farmers' Knowledge and Cultivation of
525 Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Verdc.) in Three Communities of Bolgatanga Municipality, Upper
526 East Region, Ghana. Br J Appl Sci Technol [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2018 Apr 18];4(5):775–92.

Available from: www.sciencedomain.org

27. Nkongolo KKK, Bokosi J, Malusi M, Vokhiwa Z, Mphepo M. Agronomic, culinary, and genetic characterization of selected cowpea elite lines using farmers' and breeder's knowledge_ a case study from Malawi.pdf. *African J Plant Sci.* 2009;3(7):147–56.
28. NAN. Expert urges government to improve ratio of extension workers to farmers. *The Guardian* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Apr 18]; Available from: <https://guardian.ng/news/expert-urges-government-to-improve-ratio-of-extension-workers-to-farmers/>
29. Haruna SK, Abdullahi YMG. Training of Public Extension Agents in Nigeria and the Implications for Government's Agricultural Transformation Agenda. *J Agric Ext* [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2018 Apr 18];17(2):99–104. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jae.v17i2.13>
30. Belt J, Kleijn W, Chibvuma PA, Mudyazvivi E, Gomo M, Mfula C, et al. Market-based solutions for input supply:making inputs accessible for smallholder farmers in Africa [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Mar 8]. Available from: http://www.snv.org/public/cms/sites/default/files/explore/download/snv-kit_wps_5-2015-web.pdf
31. Adetonah S, Coulibaly O, Ncho S. Gender integration in the innovation platforms for Scaling Out Cowpea in Ghana, Mali, Nigeria and Senegal [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Apr 18]. Available from: <http://gl2016conf.iita.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Gender-integration-in-the-IPs-for-Scaling-Out-Cowpea-in-Ghana-Mali-Nigeria-and-Senegal-S-Adetonah-et-al1.pdf>
32. Horn L, Shimelis H, Laing M. Participatory appraisal of production constraints, preferred traits and farming system of cowpea in the northern Namibia: implications for breeding. *Legum Res* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2017 Jul 29];38(5):691–700. Available from: <http://www.arccjournals.com/uploads/articles/23691700LR218.pdf>
33. Mortimore MJ, Singh BB, Harris F, Blade SF. Cowpea in traditional cropping systems. In: Fatokun CA, Tarawali SA, Singh BB, Kormawa PM, Tamò M, editors. *Advances in Cowpea Research* [Internet]. IITA Ibadan; 1997 [cited 2019 Mar 22]. p. 99–113. Available from: [https://books.google.com.ng/books?hl=en&lr=&id=s_5Y5BFRU1EC&oi=fnd&pg=PA99&dq=Cowpea+in+traditional+cropping+systems&ots=YyXVoi4PyX&sig=eczGpOyL4kEdmfCStK3ZUhMWwgU&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Cowpea in traditional cropping systems&f=false](https://books.google.com.ng/books?hl=en&lr=&id=s_5Y5BFRU1EC&oi=fnd&pg=PA99&dq=Cowpea+in+traditional+cropping+systems&ots=YyXVoi4PyX&sig=eczGpOyL4kEdmfCStK3ZUhMWwgU&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Cowpea+in+traditional+cropping+systems&f=false)

34. Mawo YM, Mohammed IB, Garko MS. Effect of Phosphorus Levels on Growth, Yield and Development of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) Varieties. 2016 Jun 1 [cited 2017 Jun 1];2(5):302–12. Available from: <http://ijseas.com/volume2/v2i5/ijseas20160540.pdf>
35. Mendesil E, Shumeta Z, Anderson P, Ramert B. Smallholder farmers' knowledge, perceptions and management of pea weevil in north and north-western Ethiopia. *Crop Prot* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Jul 11];81:30–7. Available from: http://ac.els-cdn.com/S0261219415301691/1-s2.0-S0261219415301691-main.pdf?_tid=cc4f96c0-6660-11e7-b9ca-00000aab0f26&acdnat=1499795339_a3477453a095922ef1e54b1334ad57f2
36. Sharma R, Peshin R, Shankar U, Kaul V, Sharma S. Impact evaluation indicators of an Integrated Pest Management program in vegetable crops in the subtropical region of Jammu and Kashmir, India. *Crop Prot* [Internet]. 2015 Jan 1 [cited 2018 Apr 18];67:191–9. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0261219414003263?via%3Dihub>
37. Tipilda A, Alene A, Manyong V, Singh BB. Intra-household impact of improved dual-purpose cowpea on Women in Northern Nigeria [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2018 Apr 18]. Available from: <https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstream/handle/10568/76156/Tipilda.pdf?sequence=1>
38. Persley GJ, Anthony VM. The Business of Plant Breeding: Market led Approaches to Plant Variety Design in Africa [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jul 10]. 210 p. Available from: <https://www.cabi.org/bookshop/book/9781786393814>
39. Chiulele RM. Breeding Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) for Improved Drought Tolerance in Mozambique. 2010 [cited 2017 Jun 19]; Available from: http://researchspace.ukzn.ac.za/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10413/5329/Rogerio_thesis_final.pdf?sequence=1
40. Godfray HCJ, Beddington JR, Crute IR, Haddad L, Lawrence D, Muir JF, et al. Food Security: The Challenge of Feeding 9 Billion People. *Science* (80-) [Internet]. 2010 Oct 6 [cited 2016 Oct 6];327(5967):812–8. Available from: <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1185383>